

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/782,287	02/19/2004	Jei-Fu Shaw	08919-104001 / 09A-911128	4293	
69713 OCCHILITI R	69713 7590 06/01/2007 OCCHIUTI ROHLICEK & TSAO, LLP			EXAMINER	
10 FAWCETT STREET			KIM, TAEYOON		
CAMBRIDGE	E, MA 02138		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1651		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		T. A. I C. A.	1 4 11 (1)
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Action Commence	10/782,287	SHAW ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Taeyoon Kim	1651
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MORE THE MAILING DANS IN THE MORE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Me. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 14-16 and 18-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 14-16 and 18-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	vn from consideration.	
	·		•
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachmen	t(s)		
1) 🔀 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/782,287

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 14-16 and 18-20 are pending.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment and response filed on Mar. 14, 2007 has been received and entered into the case.

Claims 1-13, 17 and 21-30 have been canceled, claims 14-16 and 18-20 are pending and have been considered on the merits. All arguments have been fully considered.

The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C.§102(b) and 103(a) are withdrawn due to the amendment.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-16 and 18-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: there appears to be a typographical error in the claim: "insoluable" in the claim appears to be "insoluble" instead. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,287

Art Unit: 1651

Claims 14-16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwano et al. (JP 10-248562) in view of Leach et al. (US 3,922,156).

Claims 14-16 and 18-20 are drawn to a method for producing a fermentation product from starch-containing produce such as rice comprising, 1) treating the starch-containing produce slurry with α -amylase; 2) removing insoluble materials from the slurry to obtain a starch hydrolysates-containing solution; 3) treating the starch hydrolysates-containing solution with glucoamylase to obtain glucose-rich syrup; and 4) treating the syrup with a microorganism (yeast) to obtain wine.

Leach et al. teach a two-step process of converting granular starch to a soluble hydrolysate using α -amylase in the first step, and then a saccharification enzyme such as glucoamylase in the second step (see Abstract and column 18, lines 55-64). Leach et al. also teach the removal of insoluble granular starch being easily removed by filtering (filtration) (see column 17, lines 54-59), or liquefied and/or further solubilized by a heat treatment prior to the saccharification step (see column 18, line 64 through column 19, line 3).

Although Leach et al. do not particularly teach rice, it is well known in the art that granular starch can be obtained from rice (e.g. rice flour).

Leach et al. do not teach the step of fermentation using glucose-rich syrup to produce fermentation product such as wine.

Iwano et al. teach rice as a source of making wine (sake) by fermentation involving hydrolysis of rice.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,287

Art Unit: 1651

It would therefore have been obvious for the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the syrup made by the method of Leach et al. in the fermentation process taught by Iwano et al.

The skilled artisan would have been motivated to make such a modification because since the glucose-rich syrup obtained from the method of Leach et al. would be considered as the same syrup used in fermentation process to produce rice wine taught by Iwano et al. Therefore, a person of ordinary skill in the art would consider the syrup taught by Leach et al. and the hydrolysates of Iwano et al. as art-recognized equivalents suitable for producing rice wine.

M.P.E.P. §2144.07 states "The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945) (Claims to a printing ink comprising a solvent having the vapor pressure characteristics of butyl carbitol so that the ink would not dry at room temperature but would dry quickly upon heating were held invalid over a reference teaching a printing ink made with a different solvent that was nonvolatile at room temperature but highly volatile when heated in view of an article which taught the desired boiling point and vapor pressure characteristics of a solvent for printing inks and a catalog teaching the boiling point and vapor pressure characteristics of butyl carbitol. "Reading a list and selecting a known compound to meet known requirements is no more ingenious than selecting the last piece to put in the last opening in a jig-saw puzzle." 325 U.S. at 335, 65 USPQ at 301.)".

Therefore, the invention as a whole would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1651

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taeyoon Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-9041. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 4:30 pm ET (Mon-Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Taeyoon Kim Patent Examiner Art Unit 1651 Leon B Lankford, Jr Primary Examiner